

EFFECT OF DOSE AND TIME OF APPLICATION OF PHOSPHOROUS ON YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF RICE GROWN ON P ACCUMULATED SOIL

Archana K¹, Prabhakar Reddy T², Anjaiah T³ and Padmaja B⁴

¹ Agricultural College, Jagtial, PJTSAU, Telangana State, India

² Regional Sugarcane and Rice Research Station, Rudrur, PJTSAU, Telangana State, India

³ College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, PJTSAU, Telangana State, India

⁴ Agricultural College, Jagtial, PJTSAU, Telangana State, India

E-mail: kethireddyarchana@gmail.com¹, soilprabhakar@gmail.com²,
anjaiahtheerthala@gmail.com³, Padmajag@gmail.com⁴

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted in sandy clay loam soil during *kharif* 2015 to study the effect of different levels of phosphorus and its time of application on yield and economics of rice. As part of this investigation, a survey was carried out during May, 2015 from 50 rice growing farmers to identify the farmer's practice of dose and time of P application. Based on the survey data, the average of 50 farmers P fertilizer dose (85 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) was fixed as 100 % farmer's dose and majority of the farmers practice *i.e.* two equal splits at basal and at top dressing at early tillering stage (14 to 20 DAT) was decided as farmer practice of splits for conducting field experiment on rice in P accumulated soil. The crop has given good response to application of 100 % farmers dose of P (85 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) but at the same time which was found to be on par with the application of 100 % RDP (60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), 75 % farmers dose (64 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and 75 % RDP (45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹). This can be inferred as saving of P fertilizer to rice from current recommended dose and farmer's dose in soils having higher initial available P. The benefit cost ratio obtained with application of P in two equal splits was more or less equal when compared to complete P as basal at puddling before transplanting of rice grown in P accumulated soil.

Keywords: Phosphorous, Time, Dose, Rice, Yield, Economics

INTRODUCTION

Crop utilization of applied fertilizer phosphorus is generally low due to sorption and precipitation reactions in soils. Consequently, a large accumulation of phosphorus takes place over the years, particularly in the soils that receive regular and liberal rates of P applied to each crop in a cropping system. The long-term fertilization experiments conducted across the country have clearly demonstrated the accumulation of phosphorus in the soils of different types, in spite of using recommended fertilizer doses (Nambia, 1994). This is mainly because the applied P is usually fixed very quickly and is being retained in the top layers of the soil leading to slow and steady saturation of P-fixation sites on the soil. Under these

circumstances, it is necessary to ascertain the requirement of P on such soil to crops not only to reduce the cost of chemical P fertilizer input from the current level of general recommendation but also to avoid any nutritional imbalances that might arise due to excess P availability (*e.g.* zinc).

The availability of P to rice grown on submerged soils depends on dose of fertilizers and time of fertilizer application. The rice growing farmers in many regions applying P fertilizer in split doses through complex fertilizers because they perceive that plants require P throughout the crop growth period like nitrogen. However, according to many researches, application of P at the time of transplanting is the recommended practice for paddy. Moreover, farmers do not pay much attention on time of phosphorus fertilizers application resulting in low phosphorus use efficiency. But more often, due to various reasons, it is not always possible to apply the entire P at the time of transplanting as required. Under such circumstances, it is appropriate to know whether split applications of P or delayed application is permissible without any loss in yield, P use efficiency and its economy in these P accumulated soils. Keeping in view, the significance of optimum level and time of application for improving the soil phosphorus availability and yield of rice, present experiment was planned to study the phosphorous requirement and its time of application to rice grown on P accumulated soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

As part of this investigation, a survey was conducted on May, 2015 from 50 rice growing farmers from different villages of Nizamabad district, to identify the way of farmers applying fertilizers including farmer's practice of dose and time of P application. Based on the survey data, treatments were decided for conducting field experiment on rice in P accumulated soil. Soil samples were collected from rice growing soils of Nizamabad district. A total of 50 soil samples were collected at the same geo-reference sites for characterizing soil nutrient status.

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2015 with rice (*Var.*, BPT 5204) at Regional Sugarcane and Rice Research Station, Rudrur, Nizamabad District, Telangana. As per title of experiment we have selected phosphorus accumulated soil for conducting field experiment on rice. The experimental site was sandy clay loam in texture. The soil was slightly alkaline in reaction and non-saline in nature. It was low in organic carbon and available nitrogen and high in available phosphorus and potassium. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept consisting of twelve treatment combinations with six levels of phosphorus viz., P₁ (100% Farmers dose of P), P₂ (75% of Farmers dose of P), P₃ (50% of Farmers dose of P), P₄ (100% RDP), P₅ (75% RDP) and P₆

(50% RDP) and its time of application viz., T₁ (No. of splits as per farmers practice) and T₂ (Basal Application). A common dose of N and K₂O was applied to all the treatments. The details of the treatment combinations and amount of P fertilizers applied under different treatments are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Phosphorus nutrient use under different treatments

Treatments	Total P ₂ O ₅ applied (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Basal	Topdressing	Total
P ₁ T ₁	42.50	42.50	85.00
P ₁ T ₂	85.00	-	85.00
P ₂ T ₁	32.00	32.00	64.00
P ₂ T ₂	64.00	-	64.00
P ₃ T ₁	21.25	21.25	42.50
P ₃ T ₂	42.50	-	42.50
P ₄ T ₁	30.00	30.00	60.00
P ₄ T ₂	60.00	-	60.00
P ₅ T ₁	22.50	22.50	45.00
P ₅ T ₂	45.00	-	45.00
P ₆ T ₁	15.00	15.00	30.00
P ₆ T ₂	30.00	-	30.00

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Current Status of Fertilizer Use by Rice Growing Farmers

The fertilizer use trends in the surveyed region indicated that, the total (N, P₂O₅ and K₂O) fertilizer use by farmers was highest in all the villages, with an average of 132, 85 and 76 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The recommended NPK fertilizer application rate for rice is 120-60-40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹, respectively. Thus, application of NPK fertilizers is higher than crop demand. These results also indicated that application of phosphorus fertilizer by farmers was almost two times higher than the crop requirement. The difference in phosphorus application between farmers practice and recommended dose of phosphorus is about 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, which is equal to 42 %. These results are in conformity with the findings of Swamy and Rao (1995) who have reported that more than half of the farmers

surveyed indicated that they were using increased rates of fertilizers inspite of higher costs of these materials.

This survey also revealed that, farmers applying are P fertilizer in split doses through complex fertilizers because they perceive that P is required throughout the crop growth period like nitrogen. However, according to many researches, application of P at the time of transplanting is the recommended practice for paddy. The survey indicated, majority of the rice growing farmers (44 %) in this area applying p fertilizers at basal and top dressing at early tillering stage. Whereas 26, 10, 10, 6, and 4 % of the farmers applying P fertilizers at basal, basal + mid tillerig, basal+mid tillering + panicle initiation, basal + early tillering + panicle initiation and basal + panicle initiation stages, respectively.

The average of 50 farmer's phosphorus fertilizer application dose *i.e.* 85 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was described as 100 % farmer's dose of P fertilizer application for conducting field experiment on rice in P accumulated soil. With respect to time of P fertilizer application, majority of the farmer's practice two equal splits at basal and at top dressing at early tillering stage (14 to 20 DAT) was decided as per the objective laid out in the experiment.

Table 2. Current status of fertilizer use by rice growing farmers of different villages of Nizamabad District

S.No.	Name of the Farmer	Name of the Village	Farmer Practice of NPK			Details of splits
			N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	
1	A. Pedda Ganga Reddy	Chepoor	116	80	78	Basal+ ET
2	V. Chinnaiah	Mamidipally	103	115	75	Basal
3	V. Gangadhar	Chepur	88	80	78	Basal+ ET
4	S. Ashok	Pipri	133	75	75	Basal
5	R. Raju	Manthani	132	115	75	Basal + ET
6	N. Ganga Reddy	Degam	113	105	40	Basal+ MT
7	P. Srinivas	Machharla	142	60	38	Basal+ PI
8	G. Bajanna	Mamidipally	130	120	150	Basal+ ET
9	G. Gangaram	Padkal	128	75	78	Basal+ ET
10	G. Srinivas	Armoor	130	50	115	Basal+ET+PI
11	M. Shivanna	Perkit	142	80	40	Basal+ET
12	A. Linganna	Padkal	138	92	78	Basal+ET

13	Y Raja Reddy	Argul	135	75	78	Basal+MT+PI
14	A. Srinivas	Aloor	142	105	38	Basal
15	Ch. Chinnaiah	Anksapur	145	115	113	Basal+ ET
16	P. Ghanesh	Padkal	124	46	40	Basal+ MT
17	J D Jagadeesh	Chepur	112	105	40	Basal
18	G Satyam Reddy	Argul	142	115	78	Basal+ ET
19	S Raja Reddy	Argul	126	112	38	Basal+ ET
20	T Gangadhar Rao	Rudrur	118	68	40	Basal
21	N Srenivasa Rao	Varni	98	80	78	Basal+ ET
22	V. Rajendra Prasad	Sevalaltanda	108	88	113	Basal+ ET
23	D Lingam	Boppapur	135	72	115	Basal+MT+PI
24	SK Latif	Nasurullabad	132	75	78	Basal+MT+PI
25	Kasiram	Bommandev pally	128	80	115	Basal
26	S Srikanth	Thimmapur	158	60	38	Basal+ ET
27	P Potha Reddy	Nemli	145	62	78	Basal
28	Hanma goud	Hunsa	126	80	112	Basal+ ET
29	Venkateswara Rao	Pantakurthi	118	58	72	Basal+ ET
30	Santhosh	Koyyagutta	145	68	78	Basal+ MT
31	Hanmandlu	Borlam	136	115	78	Basal
32	Ravindra Babu	Venkatapur	142	75	40	Basal+ET+PI
33	D Bhrahmam	Dshaipet	142	80	38	Basal
34	N Balraj	Beerkur	136	128	40	Basal+ ET
35	N Sailu	Kistapur	116	115	78	Basal+ ET
36	Arjun Rao	Ranampally	88	64	113	Basal+ MT
37	A Balaji	Suddulam	146	115	78	Basal
38	S Srinivas Yadav	Kotagiri	105	60	40	Basal+ET+PI
39	Sudhakar Patel	Potangal	142	80	38	Basal+ PI
40	N. Gangadhar	Hangargav	138	80	78	Basal+MT+PI
41	Ranga Babu	Karegam	152	75	78	Basal+ ET

42	Ch. Nagaiah	Jankampet	164	54	115	Basal
43	P. Maruthi	Pocharam	162	115	115	Basal+ ET
44	Veeraju	Bapunagar	156	64	78	Basal
45	D Srinivas	Jamalam	126	105	38	Basal+ ET
46	Moulana	Oddepally	120	80	150	Basal+ PI
47	Murali	Brahmanapally	152	48	78	Basal
48	Sudhakar Rao	Pitlam	146	115	113	Basal+ ET
49	Balaraju	Darmaram	132	75	38	Basal+MT+PI
50	M Raghupati Reddy	Burnapoor	135	80	115	Basal+ ET
		Average	132	85	76	

ET: Early Tillering MT: Maximum Tillering PI: Panicle Initiation

Grain and Straw Yield (t ha⁻¹)

The results revealed that there was significant increase in rice grain and straw yield with application of different levels of phosphorus. However, time of application of phosphorus and their interaction effects were found to be non-significant. The mean grain yield of the crop was highest (6.41 t ha⁻¹) when 100 % farmers dose of P was supplied to the crop but at the same time it was found to be on par with the application of 100 % RDP (6.38 t ha⁻¹), 75 % farmers dose (6.37 t ha⁻¹) and 75 % RDP (6.34 t ha⁻¹). Lower grain yield was recorded in 50 % RDP (5.83 t ha⁻¹) which was significantly lower than the rest of the treatments (Table 3&4).

The data also indicate that, the yield level that could be achievable with 100 % farmers dose of P (85 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) to P accumulated soil can be obtained with a lower dose of 75 % RDP (45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) supplied to the same crop and thus saving 40 kg of cost of P input in P accumulated soil. These observations point out that, there is a possibility of reducing the farmer's dose and recommended dose of P by 40 kg (48 % of farmer's dose) and 15 kg (25 % of RDP) P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, respectively without sacrificing the yield of rice crop grown on P accumulated soils. The results of this finding also corroborate earlier finding of Babu *et al.* (2004), Kumar *et al.* (2015) and Meena *et al.* (2014). Higher yields associated with higher levels of P are obviously due to better root growth and increased uptake of nutrients favoring better of the crop.

With respect to time of P application, farmers practice of P *i.e.*, two equal splits at basal and top dressing at early tillering stage (14 to 20 DAT) along with first top dressing of N after the first weeding recorded highest grain and straw yield (6.27 and 7.55, t ha⁻¹, respectively) which was on par with complete P as basal application (6.21 and 7.51 t ha⁻¹, respectively). Based on the results, it can be inferred that, P is more absorbed in first 20 DAT for root growth and penetration. The P absorbed during the early tillering stage of long duration rice var. BPT 5204 was more efficiently utilized for grain production. The results revealed that, soil having high P supplying capacity top dressing may be done without decrease in yield. This positive relationship of two splits of P was reported by Rao *et al.* (1973) at basal and 21 DAT, Ramaiah (1979) at basal and top dressing at 30 DAT and Budhar (1992) at basal and tillering stage. Similar positive results in three splits of P were reported by Singh *et al.* (1988) and Yadav *et al.* (2004) and four splits of P were reported by Thakur (1993). Non significant differences in grain yield due to split application were also reported by Balasubramanian *et al.* (1982), Sahu and Sahoo (1969) and Reddy *et al.*, (1984). The interaction of levels of P with its time of application found to be non significant.

Table 3. Effect of levels of phosphorous and its time of application on grain yield (t ha⁻¹) of rice.

Fertilizer Phosphorus Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	Time of P Application		Mean
	T ₁ : Farmer No. of splits	T ₂ : Basal Application	
P ₁ : 100 %Farmer dose (85 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	6.43	6.39	6.41
P ₂ : 75 % of Farmers dose (63 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	6.42	6.32	6.37
P ₃ : 50% of Farmers dose (42 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	6.11	6.06	6.08
P ₄ : 100% RDP (60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	6.41	6.35	6.38
P ₅ : 75% RDP (45 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	6.37	6.31	6.34
P ₆ : 50% RDP (30 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	5.85	5.81	5.83
Mean	6.27	6.21	
	S.Ed±		CD (0.05)
P	0.08		0.17
T	0.05		N.S.
PXT	0.11		N.S.

Note: Farmer No. of Splits: 50 % Basal + 50 % at Early Tillering Stage

Table 4. Effect of levels of phosphorous and its time of application on straw yield (t ha⁻¹) of rice

Fertilizer Phosphorus Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	Time of P Application		Mean
	T ₁ : Farmer No. of splits	T ₂ : Basal Application	
P ₁ : 100 %Farmer dose (85 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.70	7.65	7.67
P ₂ : 75 % of Farmers dose (63 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.69	7.59	7.64
P ₃ : 50% of Farmers dose (42 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.33	7.33	7.33
P ₄ : 100% RDP (60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.68	7.62	7.65
P ₅ : 75% RDP (45 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.61	7.59	7.60
P ₆ : 50% RDP (30 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	7.30	7.27	7.28
Mean	7.55	7.51	
	S.Ed±		CD (0.05)
P	0.06		0.13
T	0.03		N.S.
PXT	0.08		N.S.

Economics of Rice

The data pertaining to benefit cost ratio are presented in table 5&6. Among the phosphorus levels, the highest benefit cost ratio was noticed in P₅ (2.08) followed by P₄ (2.04), P₂ (2.02), P₃ (1.96), P₁ (1.95) and lowest was recorded under P₆ (1.90). With respect to time of P application, split application of P recorded highest benefit cost ratio (2.00) over basal application (1.98). Among the interaction effects, the highest gross and net returns and benefit cost ratio (98,367 Rs. ha⁻¹, 66,515 Rs. ha⁻¹ and 2.09, respectively) were obtained with 75 % RDP in two equal splits at basal and at early tillering stage (P₅T₁) followed by 75 % RDP at basal application (P₅T₁). The lowest gross returns (90,064 Rs. ha⁻¹), net returns (58,873 Rs. ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.89) were recorded with basal application of 50 % RDP (P₆T₂). The gross and net returns and benefit cost ratio obtained with application of P in two equal splits viz., basal and top dressing at early tillering stage was more or less equal when compared to complete P as basal at puddling before transplanting of rice grown in P accumulated soil.

The cost of P fertilizers applied (Rs./ha) in P₁ (100 % Farmers Dose) and P₅ (75 % RDP) were Rs.3740 and 1980/- respectively. This indicates that there is a significant difference in the cost of P fertilizers applied in P₁ and P₅ to the extent of Rs.1760/- per hectare. It could be noticed from the data that, application of 75 % RDP (45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded at par grain yields in rice as that of 100 % farmers practice (85 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and there was a net savings in the cost of P fertilizers applied per hectare to the extent of Rs.1760/-. Similar results were obtained by Reddy and Ahmed (2000) who stated the possibility of saving of P fertilizers to the extent of 25 to 75 per cent on soils having high status of available P. The gross and net returns and benefit cost ratio were obtained with application of P in two equal splits viz., basal and top dressing at early tillering stage was more less equal when compared to complete P as basal at puddling before transplanting of rice grown in P accumulated soil. Similar results reported by Reddy *et al.* (1984) and Srujana *et al.* (2013).

This is clear from the fore going discussion that, though the rice yields were higher in treatments receiving 100 % farmer's dose of P, the benefit cost ratio was reduced due to high cost of P fertilizer applied to rice grown in P accumulated soil.

Table 5. Effect of levels of phosphorous and its time of application on benefit cost ratio of rice

Fertilizer Phosphorus Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	Time of P Application		Mean
	T ₁ : Farmer No. of splits	T ₂ : Basal Application	
P ₁ : 100 %Farmer dose (85 kg)	1.95	1.94	1.95
P ₂ : 75 % of Farmers dose (63 kg)	2.04	1.99	2.02
P ₃ : 50% of Farmers dose (42 kg)	1.97	1.95	1.96
P ₄ : 100% RDP (60 kg)	2.05	2.02	2.04
P ₅ : 75% RDP (45 kg)	2.09	2.06	2.08
P ₆ : 50% RDP (30 kg)	1.91	1.89	1.90
Mean	2.00	1.98	

Table 6. Economics of rice as influenced by different of levels of phosphorous and its time of application

Treatments	Cost of Cultivation (Rs/-)	Gross Returns (Rs/-)	Net Returns (Rs/-)	BC Ratio
P ₁ T ₁	33611	99217	65606	1.95

P ₁ T ₂	33611	98687	65075	1.94
P ₂ T ₁	32643	99159	66515	2.04
P ₂ T ₂	32643	97592	64949	1.99
P ₃ T ₁	31719	94289	62570	1.97
P ₃ T ₂	31719	93640	61921	1.95
P ₄ T ₁	32511	99007	66495	2.05
P ₄ T ₂	32511	98095	65583	2.02
P ₅ T ₁	31851	98367	66515	2.09
P ₅ T ₂	31851	97452	65601	2.06
P ₆ T ₁	31191	90617	59426	1.91
P ₆ T ₂	31191	90064	58873	1.89

Cost of grain per kg =Rs.14.00

Cost of straw per kg = Rs. 1.2

Cost of nitrogen per kg = Rs.12.26

Cost of phosphorus per kg = Rs.44.0

Cost of potassium per kg = Rs.30.0

CONCLUSIONS

The results on grain yield and economics of rice concluded that, there is a possibility of saving of P fertilizers from current recommended dose and farmer's dose without sacrificing the yield of rice crop grown on P accumulated soils. Hence the application of 75 % RDP may be recommended for rice grown in P accumulated soil under Nizamabad condition. With respect to time of P application, the split application also be followed successfully in rice crop without any adverse effect on grain yield of rice grown in P accumulated soil.

REFERENCES

- [1] Babu, P.S., Venkata Reddy, P and Arun sathe. 2005. Phosphorus requirement and use efficiency by Sunflower, *Herlanthus annus L.* in p-accumulated vertisols. *Oil Seed Research.* 22 (2): 410-413.
- [2] Balasubramaniyan, P., Krishnarajan, J and Palaniappan, S. 1982. Effec of time of application of P to low land rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal.* 69(1):56-57
- [3] Budhar, M.N. 1992. Effect of source and time of application of phosphorous on rice (*oryza sativa L.*) yield. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 37(1):162-163.

- [4] Kumar, A.D.V.S.L.P., Rao, M.S and Satyanarayana, M. 2015. Influence of soil test based application of phosphorous fertilizers on yield of paddy: A case study in khammam District of Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Rice Research*. 8(1):48-50.
- [5] Meena, R.K., Neupane, M.P and Singh, S.P. 2014. Effect of phosphorous levels and bio-organic sources on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian Journal of Nutrition*. 1(1): 105.
- [6] Nambiar, K.N.M. 1994 Soil fertility and crop productivity under long term fertilizer use in India. Indian Council of Agricultural Research publications, New Delhi.
- [7] Ramaiah, N .V. 1979. Effect of levels of phosphorus, potash and time of application on the yield attributes of a high yielding paddy variety. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 26(3 & 4):138-140.
- [8] Rao, Y.Y., Reddy, T.V., Reddi, G.H and Venkateswarlu, M. S. 1973. Studies on split and foliar application of complex fertilizer on IET 1991 rice. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 7(3-4); 204-206.
- [8] Reddy, K.C and Ahmed, S.R 2000. Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for maize grown in inceptisols of Jagtiyal in Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*. 48:84-89.
- [9] Reddy, M.G.R., Sreerama Murthy, V and Reddy, P.R.C 1984. Effect of Phosphorus and potash application on low land rice. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*.31 (1) 71-74.
- [10] Sahu, B.N and Sahoo, B. 1969. Response of low land tall-indica rice to time of application of phosphate and potash. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 17: 79-83.
- [11] Singh, A. L., Singh, P.K and Latha P. 1988. Effect of split application of phosphorous on the growth of azolla and low land rice. *Fertilizer Research*. 16(2):109-117.
- [12] Srujana, M., Mosha, K and Prasada Rao, V. 2013. Influence of top dressing of phosphorus through complex fertilizers on nutrient uptake and economics of rice. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 4:281-285.
- [13] Swamy, G and Rao, D.R.1995. Fertilizer use in different crops of scarce rainfall zone. Report No.17. Regional Agricultural Research Station, Nandyal.
- [14] Thakur, R.B. 1993. Effect of split application of phosphorus on rice. *Oryza*. 30:73-75.
- [15] Yadav, S.L., Ramteke, J.R., Gedam, V.B and Powar, M.S. 2004. Effect of time of application of phosphorus and potassium on the yield and nutrients uptake of rice hybrids. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University*. 29(2):242-243.