

## **GENETIC DIVERSITY AND BOTTLENECK STUDIES IN PUNGANUR CATTLE THROUGH MICROSATELLITE MARKERS**

**K. Sakunthala Devi\*, B. Ramesh Gupta and S. Vani**

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding

College of Veterinary Science, Proddatur, Y.S.R District, A.P – 516360

\*Professor & Head, Department of AGB, C.V.Sc., Proddatur

E-mail: kopparthi.s@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In the present study a total of seventeen microsatellite loci were used for the assessment of genetic diversity and bottleneck analysis in Punganur cattle maintained at LRS, Palamaner, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati. A total of 115 alleles with a mean of 6.76 were observed. The mean effective number of alleles was 3.83 with size and frequencies ranged from 76 to 216 bp and 0.024 to 0.905 respectively. The mean Polymorphism Information Content value was 0.62 with mean  $F_{IS}$  value of 0.51. No mode shift was detected in the frequency distribution of alleles and a normal L-distribution was obtained indicating that the population is non-bottlenecked.

**Keywords:** Punganur cattle, Microsatellite markers, Genetic diversity, Bottleneck analysis.

### **Introduction**

Punganur is a one of the dwarf and dual purpose cattle breed of A.P. Characterization at phenotypic and molecular level is a preliminary step in conservation of the animal genetic resources. Since the population size is declining in number, efforts have been in progress now for its conservation. The analysis of genetic diversity by using microsatellite markers provides information about the presence of bottleneck in the population.

### **Materials and Methods**

Blood samples were collected from 21 Punganur cattle and Genomic DNA was isolated as per procedure of Sambrook and Russell, 2001. A total of 17 microsatellite markers chosen randomly from the list recommended by FAO were amplified using a thermal cycler with a PCR reaction mixture (12.5 $\mu$ l) containing 25 mM  $MgCl_2$ , 10mM dNTPs, 10x buffer, 60pM of each primer, 50ng of template DNA and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR products were genotyped using 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and then visualized after silver staining. The allele sizes were determined with the help of 50 bp DNA marker. Samples were scored by using quantity one and genotyper 2.3 software, verified manually

either as homo or heterozygote for each loci. Allelic profile was calculated using the POPGENE 1.31 software version [Yeh *et al.* 1999].

Polymorphism information content was calculated using PIC calculator. BOTTLENECK version 1.2.02 was used to know the reduction of size in the investigated Punganur population [Piry *et al.* 1999].

### **Results and discussion**

The allele number, size and frequency, PIC, Observed and expected heterozygosity, within population inbreeding estimates for 17 number of microsatellite loci are presented in Table 1. The size and frequency of alleles ranged from 76 to 216 bp and 0.024 to 0.90 and were on par with values reported by Karthikeyan *et al.* (2009). The estimate of heterozygosity indicates the diversity within the breed. The overall observed heterozygosity is lesser than the expected heterozygosity, which might be due to the presence of more homozygous individuals in the samples analyzed. The higher percentage of polymorphic loci might be due to the efficient breeding and management strategies followed in the breeding farm without any adverse effects of inbreeding, though the populations were under long term selection for primary traits of importance. The PIC values observed in most of the loci are more or less similar with the results reported in Kangayam cattle (0.1505 to 0.7453; Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2009). The inbreeding coefficient measures the reduction of heterozygosity within the population.  $F_{IS}$  values more than zero reveal closer relationship between the individuals of a population and there is an excess of homozygotes (0.51) which is due to assortative mating, linkage with loci under selection, small sample size or the presence of null alleles (Muralidhar *et al.* 2004).

### **Bottleneck Analysis:**

When a population experiences reduction of its effective population size, the reduction in the allele number is at a faster rate than reduction in heterozygosity, *i.e.* when the locus is at mutation-drift equilibrium, the observed heterozygosity is larger than the expected heterozygosity from the observed number of alleles. Three tests (sign test, standard difference test and wilcoxon test) under three mutation models namely infinite allele model (IAM), two phase model (TPM), step-wise mutation model (SMM) used to find the heterozygosity excess using the bottleneck programme and results were shown in Table 2. Results revealed that under sign rank test, observed heterozygosity excess ( $H_{oe}$ ) was significantly less than the expected heterozygosity excess ( $H_{ee}$ ) under IAM ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), TPM and SMM ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) models of microsatellite evolution and hence population is not in mutation drift equilibrium. It was

found that  $T_2$  values were significantly negative in all 3 models, indicating magnitude of heterozygote deficiency, which means absence of genetic bottleneck.

Non significant P-value under Wilcoxon sign rank test revealed the heterozygosity excess under IAM, TPM and SMM models, agreed the acceptance of mutation-drift equilibrium (Deepika *et al.*, 2012).

In addition qualitative graphical method (Mode-shift indicator test) of Luikart *et al.* (1998) was also used to visualize the allele frequency spectra. The microsatellite alleles were classified into 10 frequency classes and found normal L-shaped distribution where alleles with low frequencies (0.01-0.1) are the most abundant (fig 1.) which reflects that the population has not undergone any recent reduction in size.

### References

- [1] Deepika and Raj Kumar, S. 2012. Genetic Diversity and Bottleneck Analysis of Indigenous Grey Cattle Breeds of India Based on Microsatellite Data. DHR International Journal of Biomedical and Life Sciences. Vol. 3(1).
- [2] Karthikeyan, S.M.K., Sivaselvam, S.N., Selvam, R and Thangaraju, P. 2009. Microsatellite analysis of Kangayam cattle (*Bos indicus*) of Tamilnadu. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. Vol.2 No.10.
- [3] Luikart G. and Cornuet J.M., 1998. Empirical evaluation of a test for identifying recently bottlenecked populations from allele frequency data. Conservation Biology 12(1):228-237.
- [4] Muralidhar Metta., Sriramana Kanginakudru., Narasimharao Gudiseva and Javaregowda Nagaraju, 2004. Genetic characterization of the Indian cattle breeds, Ongole and Deoni (*Bos indicus*), using microsatellite markers – a Priliminary study. Bio Med Central Genetics, 5:16.
- [5] Piry, s., Luikart, G & Cornuet, J.M.1999. BOTTLENECK a computer programme for detecting recent effective population size reductions from allele data frequencies, *J Hered*, 90. 502-503.
- [6] Sambrook, J and Russel, D. 2001. Molecular cloning, A laboratory manual. Cold spring Harbour Laboratory press, Cold spring Harbour, New Yark.
- [7] Yeh F C, Boyle T, Rongcai Y, Ye Z & Xian J M, POPGENE, Version 1.31, A Microsoft Window Based Free Ware for Population Genetic Analysis, University of Alberta and Centre for International Forestry Research, Edmonton, 1999.

**Table 1.** Allele number, Size, Frequency, Polymorphism information content, Observed and expected heterozygosity, Within population inbreeding estimates in Punganur cattle population

| Locus       | Observed alleles ( $n_a$ ) | Effective number of alleles ( $n_e$ ) | Allele size | Allele frequency    | PIC         | Heterozygosity |             | $F_{IS}$    |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
|             |                            |                                       |             |                     |             | $H_o$          | $H_e$       |             |
| ETH010      | 12                         | 6.416                                 | 176-216     | 0.028-0.306         | 0.83        | 0.44           | 0.84        | 0.47        |
| TGLA122     | 6                          | 4.333                                 | 136-182     | 0.077-0.308         | 0.73        | 0.00           | 0.77        | 1.00        |
| INRA035     | 2                          | 1.208                                 | 110-112     | 0.095- <b>0.905</b> | 0.16        | 0.00           | 0.17        | 1.00        |
| INRA063     | 3                          | 1.851                                 | 170-184     | 0.053-0.684         | 0.39        | 0.00           | 0.46        | 1.00        |
| HEL001      | 4                          | 1.495                                 | 118-128     | 0.048-0.810         | 0.31        | 0.00           | 0.33        | 1.00        |
| TGLA126     | 7                          | 6                                     | 118-130     | 0.071-0.238         | 0.81        | 0.90           | 0.83        | -0.09       |
| INRA005     | 4                          | 1.664                                 | 138-146     | 0.048-0.762         | 0.38        | 0.00           | 0.40        | 1.00        |
| INRA032     | 5                          | 2.609                                 | 197-204     | 0.024-0.548         | 0.56        | 0.24           | 0.62        | 0.61        |
| ETH225      | 6                          | 3.472                                 | 134-158     | 0.024-0.429         | 0.67        | 0.95           | 0.71        | -0.34       |
| CSRM60      | 12                         | 6.945                                 | 76-114      | 0.024-0.286         | 0.84        | 0.81           | 0.86        | 0.05        |
| BM1824      | 7                          | 6.438                                 | 179-197     | 0.071-0.190         | 0.82        | 0.05           | 0.84        | 0.94        |
| TGLA053     | 6                          | 3.841                                 | 146-180     | 0.038-0.385         | 0.70        | 0.85           | 0.74        | -0.14       |
| INRA037     | 9                          | 5.517                                 | 112-146     | 0.025-0.300         | 0.80        | 0.40           | 0.82        | 0.51        |
| ETH003      | 13                         | 4.455                                 | 102-130     | 0.024-0.429         | 0.76        | 0.52           | 0.78        | 0.32        |
| TGLA227     | 4                          | 1.740                                 | 88-100      | 0.026-0.737         | 0.39        | 0.16           | 0.43        | 0.63        |
| MM12        | 8                          | 4.198                                 | 102-142     | 0.026-0.421         | 0.74        | 0.63           | 0.76        | 0.17        |
| HAUT02<br>4 | 7                          | 2.985                                 | 142-156     | 0.025-0.525         | 0.63        | 0.30           | 0.67        | 0.55        |
| <b>Mean</b> | <b>6.76</b>                | <b>3.83</b>                           |             |                     | <b>0.62</b> | <b>0.36</b>    | <b>0.65</b> | <b>0.51</b> |

**Table 2.** Mutation–drift equilibrium, heterozygosity excess/deficiency under three mutation models in Punganur cattle population

| Model  |                                      | Infinite alleles model (IAM) | Two-phase model (TPM) | Step wise mutation model (SMM) |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Sign test</b><br>(No. of loci with heterozygosity excess) | Expected                             | 10.09                        | 10.14                 | 10.08                          |
|  | Observed                             | 6                            | 3                     | 2                              |
|  | Probability                          | 0.038*                       | 0.000**               | 0.000**                        |
| <b>Standardized differences test</b>                         | T <sub>2</sub> values                | -3.705                       | -5.524                | -8.659                         |
|  | Probability                          | 0.000**                      | 0.000**               | 0.000**                        |
| <b>Wilcoxon sign rank test</b>                               | Probability of heterozygosity excess | 0.960                        | 0.997                 | 0.999                          |

**Figure 1:** L-shaped mode-shift graph showing lack of bottleneck in Punganur population.