

## **IMPACT OF WATER RESTRICTION ON PHYSIOLOGICAL INDICES OF SHEEP AND GOATS UNDER MIDDLE GUJARAT AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITION**

**A.V. Khanvilkar, M.M. Islam, Y.D. Padheriya, R.J. Modi and K.N. Wadhvani\***

Department of Livestock Production Management  
College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry  
Anand Agriculture University, Anand, Gujarat, INDIA

\*E-mail: knwadhvani@aau.in

**Abstract:** Present study was conducted to assess the effect of water restriction and rehydration on physiological indices like rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ), respiratory rate (per min) and pulse rate (per min) of Sheep and Goats under middle Gujarat agro climatic condition. Twenty four Sheep and Goats were randomly divided in to two water restriction treatment groups viz. T<sub>1</sub> (0% WR), T<sub>2</sub> (50% WR) on the basis of body weight (25-30 kg) after accessing individual water requirement during adaptation period and the experiment was conducted during two different seasons viz. hot dry (S<sub>1</sub>) and hot humid (S<sub>2</sub>). The rectal temperature, respiratory rate and pulse rate of 50% water restricted group during morning and afternoon hours was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than the animals of control group. The experimental Goats had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lowered rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) but, significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) elevated pulse rate and respiration rate as compared to Sheep in both morning and afternoon sessions. The rectal temperature, pulse rate and respiration rate was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in hot dry season than hot humid season in both morning and afternoon sessions. It is concluded from the present study that water restriction at 50% level in sheep and goat results in negative effect in terms of physiological indices and the effects were more stressful in hot dry season compared to hot humid season.

**Keywords:** Water restriction, rehydration, physiological indices, sheep and goat.

### **Introduction**

Small ruminants have adaptability to wide range of environmental conditions. On exposure to harsh hot climate, these animals try to avoid the extra heat load activating their metabolic process in order to maintain homoeothermy. During heat stress, feed consumption decreases, while drinking of water increases [15] and weight gain slower down, which is parallel to feed consumption and nutrient balance. Additionally, the animals strive hard while attempting to balance body temperature, which in turn increases their energy need [13]. In the changing scenario of climate, thermal stress along with feed and water scarcity are the major predisposing factors for the low productivity of small ruminants under hot semiarid environment [14]. To assess the real problem faced by the animals in the field conditions and

to understand water crisis management, the best experimental model is dehydration and rehydration [8]. Present study was conducted with the objective to assess the impact of water restriction and rehydration on physiological indices of sheep and goats under middle Gujarat agro climatic condition.

### Materials and Methods

Twenty four sheep and Goats were randomly divided in to two water restriction treatment groups viz. T<sub>1</sub> (0% WR), T<sub>2</sub> (50% WR) on the basis of body weight (25-30 kg) after accessing individual water requirement during adaptation period and the experiment was conducted during two different seasons viz. hot dry (S<sub>1</sub>) and hot humid (S<sub>2</sub>). The experimental animals were fed on pelleted concentrate mixture (Amul Dana) and chaffed dry wheat straw as per ICAR feeding standard [7]. The water ingestion of all experimental animals were assessed by offering *ad lib* water after measuring in measuring cylinder during 15 days adaptation period to decide the quantum of water required by the animals. The animals of control group were offered the water in three installments i.e. 9.00 am, 2.00 and 4.00 pm. after measuring every time while in water restriction groups, the whole day water requirement was measured once in morning and kept in respective labeled bucket. During rehydration phase all experimental animals offered *ad lib* measured water in three installments i.e. 9.00 am, 2.00 and 4.00 pm. The data of body weight during dehydration phase was analyzed by four factorial completely randomized designs while the data of rehydration phase was analyzed by one way Anova by standard methods.

### Results and Discussion

The rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F) during morning hours in the animals of 50% water restricted group was significantly (P < 0.05) higher (101.2±0.14) in T<sub>2</sub> than the experimental animals of 0% water restricted groups (100.04±0.18) in T<sub>1</sub>. The experimental Goats (100.91±0.16) showed significantly (P < 0.05) lower rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F) as compared to Sheep (101.34±0.20). The rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F) was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in hot dry season (101.26±0.14) than hot humid season (100.9±0.19). Whereas, the rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F) during afternoon hours in experimental the animals of 50% water restricted group was significantly (P < 0.05) higher (101.79±0.16) in T<sub>2</sub> than the experimental animals of 0% water restricted groups (100.77±0.19) in T<sub>1</sub>. The experimental Goats showed significantly (P < 0.05) lower (101.39±0.14) rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F), as compared to Sheep (102.17±0.18). The rectal temperature (<sup>o</sup>F) was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in hot dry season (101.96±0.12) than hot humid season (101.30±0.17). The water restriction caused a rise in

rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) when Awassi sheep were subjected to 50% WR for 4 days [16], 72 hrs water restriction [12] and 3 days of water dehydration [1] and there was an increase in the evening values of rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) from morning values [4,9] are in accordance with the present findings. Similarly, rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) rose by a mean value of 1.3  $^{\circ}\text{F}$  only in goats deprived of water in summer [6] well supported the present finding of 1.71  $^{\circ}\text{F}$  at 7.30 a.m. and 1.03 $^{\circ}\text{F}$  at 14.30 p.m. rise in rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) in summer season due to water restriction. The experimental animals under 40% water restrictions had a higher value of rectal temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) than experimental animals under control and 20% water restriction group [10].

During morning hours the pulse rate (no./min) in experimental animals of 50% water restricted group ( $T_2$ ) exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $59.25 \pm 1.63$ ) than the animals of 0% water restricted groups ( $T_1$ ) ( $56.66 \pm 1.35$ ). The Goats exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $58.71 \pm 1.46$ ) pulse rate than the Sheep ( $57.20 \pm 1.17$ ). The experimental animals exposed to hot dry season exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $59.98 \pm 1.89$ ) pulse rate than experimental animals exposed to hot humid season ( $55.93 \pm 1.89$ ). During afternoon hours the pulse rate in the experimental animals of  $T_2$  group exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $63.74 \pm 1.69$ ) than the  $T_1$  group ( $61.31 \pm 1.77$ ). The Goats exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $64.00 \pm 1.56$ ) pulse rate than the Sheep ( $61.05 \pm 1.70$ ). The experimental animals exposed to hot dry season exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $65.99 \pm 1.90$ ) pulse rate than experimental animals exposed to hot humid season ( $59.06 \pm 1.87$ ). The pulse rate increased with 72 hrs water restriction has been observed by other worker [12] which supports the present findings. However, other scientist [11] reported that pulse rate (no./min) remain unaffected with level of water restriction. The experimental animals of 40% water restriction group exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher pulse rate (no./min) than the experimental animals of 0% water restriction group reported by other scientist [10] also supports the present findings.

During morning hours the respiratory rate (no./min) in the experimental animals of 50% water restricted group ( $T_2$ ) exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $52.38 \pm 1.02$ ) than the 0% water restricted groups ( $T_1$ ) ( $44.33 \pm 3.89$ ). The Goats exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $51.06 \pm 4.05$ ) respiratory rate than the Sheep ( $45.65 \pm 1.90$ ). The experimental animals exposed to hot dry season exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $50.78 \pm 2.73$ ) respiratory rate than the experimental animals exposed to hot humid season ( $45.93 \pm 3.75$ ). During afternoon hours the respiratory rate in the experimental animals of 50% water restricted

group ( $T_2$ ) group exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $63.36 \pm 5.66$ ) than the 0% water restricted groups ( $T_1$ ) ( $57.99 \pm 4.09$ ). The Goats exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $62.75 \pm 2.45$ ) respiratory rate than the Sheep ( $58.60 \pm 2.73$ ). The experimental animals exposed to hot dry season exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher ( $50.78 \pm 2.73$ ) respiratory rate than the experimental animals exposed to hot humid season ( $57.55 \pm 2.09$ ). The respiration rate varied significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) among the experimental period has been observed by other scientists [2, 3, 5, 9, and 12] at 7.30 a.m. and 14.30 p.m. The experimental animals of 40% water restriction group showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher respiration rate (no./min) than the animals of 0% water restriction group [10] also supports the present findings.

### Summary and Conclusion

In sheep and goats physiological responses like rectal temperature, pulse rate and respiration rate increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) when received 50% water requirements at 7:30 a.m. and 2:30 p.m. Similarly, experimental animals exhibited significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower physiological responses in hot humid as compared to hot dry season. It is concluded from the present study that water restriction at 50% level in sheep and goat results in negative effect in terms of physiological indices and the effects were more stressful in hot dry season compared to hot humid season.

### References

- [1] Abdelatif, A.M., El sayed, S. A and Hassan, Y.M. (2010). Effect of state of hydration on body weight, blood constituents and urine excretion in Nubian Goats (*Capra hircus*). *World J. Agric. Sci.*, **6**(2): 178-188.
- [2] Adogla-Bessa, T and Aganga, A.A. (2000). Responses of Tswana goats to various lengths of water deprivation. *S. Afri. J. Anim. Sci.*, **30**(1): 87-91.
- [3] Ahmed, M.M.M and Kheir, El. I.M. (2004). Thermoregulation and water balance as affected by water and food restrictions in Sudanese desert goats fed good quality and poor quality diets. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. **36**:191-204.
- [4] Alamer, M. (2006). Physiological responses of Saudi Arabia indigenous goat to water deprivation. *J. Small Ruminant Research*, **63**: 100–109.
- [5] Ayoub, M.A and Saleh, A.A. (1998). A comparative Physiological Study between Camels and Goats during Water Deprivation. *Proceedings of the Third Annual Meeting for animal Production under Arid Conditions*, United Arab Emirates University, **1**:71-87.

- [6] El-Nouty, F.D., Al-Haidary, A.A and Basmaeil, S.M. (1990). Physiological responses, feed Intake, urine volume and serum osmolality of Aardi goats deprived of water during spring and summer. *AJAS*, **3**(4): 331-336.
- [7] ICAR (1998). Nutrient requirements of Livestock and Poultry. Indian Council of Agric. Res., New Delhi, India.
- [8] Kataria, N. (2000). Hormonal and renal regulation of fluid retention in dromedary camel. Thesis, Ph. D. Faculty of Veterinary Physiology. C. C. S. Haryana Agricultural University. Hissar, India.
- [9] Kheir, I.M and Ahmed, M.M.M. (2008). Effects of water and feed restriction on some physiological and haematological parameters and blood constituents of Sudanese desert goats fed high and low quality forages under semi-arid conditions. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, **42**(1): 39-43.
- [10] Gupta, Neelam (2013). Performance of indigenous sheep under water restriction and rehydration in Middle Gujarat Agroclimatic condition. Thesis presented to Anand Agriculture University, Anand, (Gujarat State).
- [11] Qinisa, M.M. (2010). Effect of water restriction on aspects of digestion in Boer and Tswana goats offered Eragrostisteff and Lucerne Hay, PhD Thesis submitted to University of Pretoria.
- [12] Rajkhowa, S and Hazarika, G.C. (2000). Clinical-Biochemical studies on the effect of water deprivation on goats under hot climatic conditions. *Indian Vet. J.*, **77**: 856-858.
- [13] Rout, P.K., Mandal, A., Singh, R.B and Roy, R. (2002). Studies on behavioural patterns in Jamunapari goats. *Small Animal Research*, **43**:185-188.
- [14] Sejian, V., Maurya, V.P., Kumar, K and Naqvi, S.M.K. (2012). Effect of Multiple Stresses (Thermal, Nutritional, and Walking Stress) on the Reproductive Performance of Malpura Ewes. *Vet. Med. Int.*
- [15] Silanikove, N. (1992). Effect of water scarcity and hot environment on appetite and digestion in ruminants: a review. *Livestock Production Science*, **30**:175-194.
- [16] Wali, S.A and Ashir, A.M. (1990). Physiological responses of Awassi sheep to water and feed restriction. *Indian J. Animal Sci.* **60**(6): 690-692.

**Table 1: Effect of water restriction on physiological parameters in Sheep and Goats**

<b>Particular</b>	<b>RT (°F) (7:30A.M)</b>	<b>RT (°F) (2:30P.M)</b>	<b>PR (no. per min.) (7:30A.M)</b>	<b>PR (no. per min.) (2:30P.M)</b>	<b>RR (no. per min.) (7:30A.M)</b>	<b>RR (no. per min.) (2:30P.M)</b>
<b>T1</b>	100.04 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.18	100.77 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.19	56.66 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.35	61.31 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.77	44.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.89	57.99 <sup>a</sup> ± 4.09
<b>T2</b>	101.21 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.14	101.79 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.16	59.25 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.63	63.74 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.69	52.38 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.02	63.36 <sup>b</sup> ± 5.66
<b>Sheep</b>	101.34 <sup>n</sup> ± 0.20	102.17 <sup>n</sup> ± 0.18	57.20 <sup>m</sup> ± 1.17	61.05 <sup>m</sup> ± 1.70	45.65 <sup>m</sup> ± 1.90	58.60 <sup>m</sup> ± 2.73
<b>Goat</b>	100.91 <sup>m</sup> ± 0.16	101.39 <sup>m</sup> ± 0.14	58.71 <sup>n</sup> ± 1.46	64.00 <sup>n</sup> ± 1.56	51.06 <sup>n</sup> ± 4.05	62.75 <sup>n</sup> ± 2.45
<b>S1</b>	101.26 <sup>y</sup> ± 0.14	101.96 <sup>y</sup> ± 0.12	59.98 <sup>y</sup> ± 1.89	65.99 <sup>y</sup> ± 1.90	50.78 <sup>y</sup> ± 2.73	63.80 <sup>y</sup> ± 2.66
<b>S2</b>	100.99 <sup>x</sup> ± 0.19	101.30 <sup>x</sup> ± 0.17	55.93 <sup>x</sup> ± 1.89	59.06 <sup>x</sup> ± 1.87	45.93 <sup>x</sup> ± 3.75	57.55 <sup>x</sup> ± 2.09

Superscripts (a and b) in column differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) showing Treatment effect  
 Superscripts (n and m) in column differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) showing Species effect  
 Superscripts (x and y) in column differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) showing Season effect