

## **BUILD UP OF MACRO, MICRO AND SECONDARY PLANT NUTRIENTS IN SITE SPECIFIC NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT EXPERIMENT UNDER RICE–WHEAT SYSTEM**

**<sup>1</sup>S. S. Dhaliwal, <sup>2</sup>S. S. Walia, <sup>3</sup>M. K. Walia and <sup>1</sup>J. S. Manchanda**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, PAU, Ludhiana, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, PAU, Ludhiana,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, Ohio State University, USA,

E-mail: drdhaliwalss@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract:** Soil samples were collected during 2008-09 from a field trial conducted at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana to investigate the status of macro, micro and secondary plant nutrients in rice-wheat system under nutrient management technique. In the nutrient exhaustive rice-wheat system, the results of our study revealed that there was no significant change in per cent organic carbon content (% OC) due to the treatment effect over its initial status (0.38%). On the other hand there was slight improvement in N status in all the treatment combinations and maximum value of N was recorded in T<sub>11</sub> treatment (154.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Further there was slight improvement in P status in all the treatments from its initial value of 29.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> except T<sub>12</sub> (28.0-31.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and S levels in all the fourteen treatments ranged from 1.20-3.50, 0.82-3.17, 31.7-49.6, 3.52-7.12, 1.02-1.15 and 0.84-1.20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The increase in available Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and S in soil was recorded in the treatment was attributed to creation of reduced environment during rice crop growth. The results of our study indicated that higher fertility status of soil could be maintained through macro and micro and secondary nutrients application.

**Keywords:** Macro and micronutrients, rice-wheat cropping system.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Rice-wheat is the most predominant cropping system in the Punjab state and the productivity of these crops was very low during early sixties in the last century. The production of rice and wheat has increased considerably since then due to increase in areas under rice- wheat system, large scale cultivation of new high yielding semi-dwarf varieties and increased application of irrigation, fertilizers and pesticides. Rice-wheat being an nutrient exhaustive cropping system with nutrient removal of 500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O in one cropping cycle producing wheat as against recommended of application of 120-30-0 to rice and 120-60-30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to wheat as N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> annum<sup>-1</sup> and this has depleted the nutrient status of soil. Also, due to intensive cultivation, the soils have become deficient in most of the macro and micronutrients which has considerably decreased the productivity. In Punjab, rice – wheat cropping system is followed on light texture soils where nearly 50 per

cent of soils are deficient in zinc (Zn). Further the cultivation of rice and wheat on coarse textured soils shows manganese (Mn) deficiency in wheat and iron (Fe) deficiency in the subsequent rice with low organic matter. After the harvest of these two crops a negative balance of nutrients has been commonly observed in rice-wheat system. Presently Zn application has become as much essential as nitrogen and phosphorus. Recently, the deficiency of other nutrients like Fe, S, Mn, B, S and even K deficiency is propping up in Punjab (Nayyar *et al.*, 1985).

Rice and wheat are highly responsive to N and major consumer of fertilizer N in the country. The efficiency of applied N in rice generally ranged from 25 to 45 per cent compared to 50-70 per cent in upland crops (Hauck, 1971; Maskina *et al.*, 1988; Rawat *et al.*, 1998). Proper management of nutrients especially fertilizer N is necessary for better N-use efficiency in these crops. In wetland rice ecosystem N-application in three equal splits at transplanting, tillering and panicle initiation growth stages has been found to be more efficient in increasing rice yield than single or two split applications (Meelu *et al.*, 1987; Huke and Huke, 1992). In wheat, N application in two splits gave better yield. Among sources of N, urea was significantly superior to calcium ammonium nitrate in wetland rice. However, in wheat both sources were equally effective (Singh *et al.*, 1990). In rice-wheat system whatever fertilizer is applied to the previous crop and a fraction of it may be available to the succeeding crop. In alluvial soils, lack of sufficient response of K application is generally associated with adequate release of K due to illitic nature of clay minerals. But long term experiments in rice-wheat rotation on such soils showed depletion of K in soil with continuous cropping without K application. Therefore, the use of K seems necessary on account of more removal by rice and wheat grown in a sequence (Bijay-Singh *et al.*, 1997).

Nutrient management technique is necessary to maintain the production potential of rice-wheat system because the burgeoning population pressure is a challenge and great threat to food security of India. By 2020, the cereal requirement of Indian population will be between 257 to 296 million tons (Bhalla *et al.*, 1999). The demand for rice and wheat, the predominant staple food, is expected to increase by 122 and 103 million tones, respectively by 2020, assuming a medium income growth (Kumar, 1998). In a recent study conducted by Aggarwal *et al.*, (2000) using crop growth simulation model with maximum and minimum temperatures and physiological characteristics of varieties helped to determine potential yields. Keeping in view the above points, an effort was made to achieve the potential yield of rice-

wheat system by nutrient management technique and to monitor the fertility status of soil with respect to application of macro and micronutrients in sandy loam soil of Punjab.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nutrient management technique offers a new approach to increase the crop yields, plant nutrient uptake, and macro and micronutrients use efficiencies from the fertilizer. Nutrient management technique has been defined as the dynamic, field-specific management of nutrients in a particular cropping system to optimize the supply and demand of nutrients according to their differences in cycling through soil–plant systems (Dobermann and White, 1999). The nutrient management investigation was initiated with the sole objective of yield maximization in rice-wheat system. For this investigation the experimental domain was located in PAU, research farm under Department of Agronomy. The target fixed for rice and wheat productivity was 160 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and to achieve this the experiment was initiated on *Kharif* 2003 with rice (PHB 71) and wheat (PBW 343) during *rabi* season.

**Table 1:** Details of the treatments applied to experiment

| Treat-<br>ments | Nutrients applied (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                               |                  |         |       |                    |                       |                  |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|                 | N  | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | K <sub>2</sub> O | Sulphur | Borax | Copper<br>sulphate | Manganese<br>sulphate | Zinc<br>sulphate |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 150                                      | 30                            | 150              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 150                                      | 0                             | 150              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 100              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 50               | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 0                | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 0                |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 40      | 5     | 10                 | 0                     | 25               |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 40      | 5     | 0                  | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 40      | 0     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 0       | 5     | 10                 | 20                    | 25               |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 150                                      | 60                            | 150              | 0       | 0     | 0                  | 0                     | 0                |
| T <sub>13</sub> | 120                                      | 30                            | 30               | 0       | 0     | 0                  | 0                     | 25               |
| T <sub>14</sub> | 180                                      | 60                            | 0                | 0       | 0     | 0                  | 0                     | 25               |

### Collection and analysis of soil samples

Soil samples were collected from a terminated trial after harvesting of rice and wheat crops during 2008-09. These soil samples were analyzed for available N, P, K, B and S parameters using standard methodology. The available Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn in the soil were assessed by the method of Lindsay and Norvell, (1978).

**Table 2:** Basic soil properties of experimental soil before the start of experiment

| Soil property                                 | Value      |
|---|------------|
| Texture                                       | Loamy Sand |
| Soil pH (1:2 H <sub>2</sub> O)                | 7.8        |
| Electrical conductivity (ds m <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.14       |
| Soil organic C (%)                            | 0.38       |
| Avail. soil N (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )          | 134.4      |
| Avail. soil P (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )          | 29.7       |
| Avail. soil K (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )          | 179.2      |
| Avail. Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)          | 2.08       |
| Avail. Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)          | 0.54       |
| Avail. Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)          | 13.70      |
| Avail. Mn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)          | 9.98       |
| Avail. B (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)           | 5.09       |
| Avail. S (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)           | 8.01       |

The basic physico-chemical characteristics of the experimental soil are mentioned in Table 2. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture (17 % clay, 8.5 % silt and 74.5 % sand). The pH is slightly alkaline (7.8) with 0.14 dSm<sup>-1</sup> electrical conductivity. The organic carbon status was low (0.38 per cent), the nitrogen low (134.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphorus (20.1 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) status was medium and potash status was low (179.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment detail of the experiment which comprises of 14 treatments was given in Table 1 with different levels and combination of these nutrients. Although soil reported sufficient levels of Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B, and S even then these were added to soil to get the targeted yield.

The experiment was designed in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Status of macronutrients in the soil

The fertility status of soil with respect to macronutrients and organic carbon was depleted due to high uptake of nutrients by wheat which needs more nutrients as compared to traditional crop cultivars (Table 3). The soil analysis results revealed that there was no significant change in organic carbon content (% OC) due to the treatment effect over its initial status (0.38%). The nitrogen (N) level in the soil remained low in all the treatments.

**Table 3: Macronutrients status of soil under rice-wheat system**

| Treatments      | Soil Fertility Status |                          |                          |                          |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 | OC (%)                | N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | 0.38                  | 147.2                    | 30.2                     | 179.8                    |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 0.36                  | 144.5                    | 29.9                     | 181.8                    |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 0.37                  | 144.7                    | 29.8                     | 178.3                    |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 0.36                  | 146.2                    | 28.6                     | 180.0                    |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 0.36                  | 144.2                    | 29.4                     | 172.0                    |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 0.34                  | 140.6                    | 28.0                     | 166.4                    |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 0.36                  | 147.2                    | 29.8                     | 178.8                    |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 0.37                  | 145.2                    | 31.7                     | 180.9                    |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 0.37                  | 142.7                    | 29.0                     | 179.6                    |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 0.37                  | 143.7                    | 30.4                     | 183.8                    |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 0.37                  | 154.6                    | 30.9                     | 178.6                    |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 0.36                  | 142.1                    | 31.9                     | 181.3                    |
| T <sub>13</sub> | 0.36                  | 142.7                    | 29.2                     | 176.4                    |
| T <sub>14</sub> | 0.35                  | 154.1                    | 29.7                     | 172.2                    |
| CD (0.05)       | NS                    | NS                       | 1.95                     | NS                       |

The N status varied from 140.6-154.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> against initial value of 134.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). There was slight improvement in N status in all the treatment combinations and maximum value of N was recorded in T<sub>11</sub> treatment (154.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). There was slight improvement in P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> status in all the treatments from its initial value of 29.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> except T<sub>12</sub> (28.0 – 31.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Rice hybrid PHB 71 which was transplanted to meet out the yield targets depleted the OC as well as N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> status of the soil. Peng and Cassman, (1998),

Witt *et al.*, (1999) and Pathak *et al.*, (2004), Dhaliwal and Walia, (2008) and Dhaliwal *et al.*, (2009) reported similar distribution of OC, N and P fertilizers in light texture soils under rice–wheat system. The K<sub>2</sub>O status did not improve in different treatments over its initial status (179.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and only T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> showed little improvement in K<sub>2</sub>O over its initial status. However, the K<sub>2</sub>O status ranged from 166.4 -183.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. These results were supported by Buresh *et al.*, (2005) who reported similar results under rice – wheat cropping system. The low status of available K<sub>2</sub>O may be attributed due to higher yield targets and its more removal from the soil. No much change has been obtained in K<sub>2</sub>O status from its initial values (Table 3). Due to higher requirement of K<sub>2</sub>O for rice and wheat cultivars, the K<sub>2</sub>O status of soil did not show any improvement. Similar trend of variation with respect to soil properties was reported by Doberman and Fairhurst, (2000), Ladha *et al.*, (2000) and Mishra *et al.*, (2005) under rice-wheat system.

#### Status of micro and secondary nutrients in the soil

The application of micronutrient fertilizers along with macronutrients fertilizers improved the micronutrient status of soil.

**Table 4:** Micronutrients and secondary nutrients status of soil in rice - wheat system

| Treatment       | Zn                     | Cu   | Fe   | Mn   | B    | S     |
|-----------------|------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|                 | (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |      |      |      |      |       |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | 2.58                   | 1.34 | 14.6 | 7.12 | 5.76 | 18.40 |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 2.18                   | 1.28 | 18.1 | 6.80 | 5.44 | 18.45 |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 2.14                   | 1.50 | 18.3 | 6.84 | 6.72 | 18.40 |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 2.90                   | 1.40 | 13.5 | 6.90 | 5.88 | 18.56 |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 2.50                   | 1.80 | 19.4 | 7.90 | 4.65 | 18.52 |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 3.5                    | 1.60 | 11.0 | 6.84 | 6.53 | 19.78 |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 1.20                   | 1.68 | 10.9 | 6.16 | 5.95 | 18.36 |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 2.12                   | 1.40 | 19.6 | 7.02 | 5.85 | 19.23 |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 2.26                   | 0.82 | 10.5 | 7.34 | 5.71 | 19.85 |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 2.34                   | 1.28 | 10.3 | 6.54 | 7.52 | 19.65 |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 2.32                   | 1.40 | 14.0 | 7.08 | 4.46 | 10.56 |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 1.32                   | 1.08 | 11.7 | 4.68 | 4.29 | 10.92 |
| T <sub>13</sub> | 2.70                   | 1.02 | 13.4 | 3.84 | 4.48 | 10.85 |
| T <sub>14</sub> | 2.40                   | 1.02 | 16.1 | 4.52 | 4.25 | 10.45 |
| CD (0.05)       | 0.8                    | NS   | 4.4  | 1.2  | 1.92 | 3.52  |

The data reported for DTPA-extractable micronutrients (Table 4) indicated that levels of Zn, Cu, Fe, and Mn in soil increased significantly in all the micronutrients fertilizer treatments. With this nutrient management approach in rice-wheat system the micronutrient content in the soil improved substantially. However, the results of various treatments showed that the OC played a pivotal role in the release of Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and S content in soil because it provided favorable environment (Table 4) for oxidation and reduction regime and lowering of soil pH under reduced conditions. The Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and S levels in all the fourteen treatments ranged from 1.20-3.50, 0.82-3.17, 31.7-49.6, 3.52-7.12, 1.02-1.15 and 0.84-1.20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The increase in DTPA-extractable (available) Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and S in soil recorded in the treatment was attributed to creation of reduced environment during rice crop growth. Similar results were reported by Nayyar *et al.*, (1985), Dhaliwal and Manchanda, (2008) and, Dhaliwal and Walia, (2008) who reported similar observations under rice-wheat system practiced on coarse textured soils.

## CONCLUSIONS

Rice-wheat is the predominant cropping system and with its intensive cultivation, the soils have become deficient in most of the macro and micronutrients under Punjab conditions. Fifty per cent of Indian soils are deficient in Zn and further coarse textured soils under rice-wheat system were found deficient in Fe and Mn. Rice and wheat are highly responsive to N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O fertilizers and is a major consumer of fertilizer N across the country. The application of macronutrients viz. N, P and K along with micro (Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn and B) and secondary nutrients (S) contributed a lot in achieving the targeted yield of rice-wheat system. Also this technique, to some extent improved the physico-chemical properties of the soil.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aggarwal, P.K., Bandyopahyay, S.K., Pathak, H., Kalra, N., Chander, S. and Sujith, Kumar, (2000): Analyses of yield trends of the rice-wheat system in north-western India, *Outlook on Agriculture* 29: 12-28.
- [2] Bijay Singh, Yadwinder Singh, Masskina, M.S. and Meelu, O.P. (1997): Nutrient requirement of rice on light texture soils, *Nutrient cycling in Agroecosystem*, 47: 243-52.

- [3] Bhalla, G. S., Hazell, P. and Kerr, J. (1999): Prospect of India's cereal supply and demand for 2020, *Food, Agriculture and the environment discussion paper 29*, IFPRI, Washington pp: 24.
- [4] Buresh, R. J., Witt, C., Ramanathan, S., Mishra, B., Chandrasekaran, B., Rajendran, R. (2005): Site-specific nutrient management: Managing N, P and K for rice, *Fertilizer News* 5: 31-37.
- [5] Dhaliwal, S.S. and Manchanda, J.S. (2008): Effect of green manure, submergence and soil applied Mn on yield and uptake of Mn under rice-wheat system, *An Asian Journal of Soil Science* 3(1): 166-172.
- [6] Dhaliwal, S.S., Sadana, U.S., Manchanda, J.S. and Dhadli, H.S. (2009b): Biofortification of wheat grains with zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe) in *Typic Ustochrept* soils of Punjab, *Indian J. Fertilizer* 5(11): 13-16 and 19-20.
- [7] Dhaliwal, S.S. and Walia, S.S. (2008): Integrated nutrient management for sustaining maximum productivity of rice-wheat system under Punjab conditions, *Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University* 45: 12-16.
- [8] Dobermann, A., Witt, C., Dawe, D., Abdulrachman, S., Gines, G.C., Nagarajan, R., Satawathananont, S., Son, T.T., Tan, C.S., Wang, G.H., Chien, N.V., Thoa, V.T, K., Phung, C.V., Stalin, P., Muthukrishnan, P., Ravi, V., Babu, M., Chatuporn, S., Sookthongsa, J., Sun, Q., Fu, R., Simbahan, G. and Adviento, M.A. (2002): Site-specific nutrient management for intensive rice cropping systems in Asia, *Field Crops Research* 74: 37-66.
- [9] Dobermann, A. and Fairhurst, T.H. (2000): *Rice: Nutrient Disorders and Nutrient Management*, Potash and Phosphate Institute, Singapore and IRRI, Manila, Philippines.
- [10] Huke, R. and Huke, E. (1992): *Rice-wheat atlas of south Asia*, IRRI, CIMMYT and NARS, pp 50.
- [11] Hauck, R.D. (1971): *In Nitrogen-15 in soil, plant studies*, pp 65-80, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Australia.
- [12] Kumar, P. (1998): Food demand and supply projections for India, *Agricultural Economics paper* 90-91. IARI, New Delhi.
- [13] Ladha, J.K., Fischer, K.S., Hossain, M., Hobbs, P.R. and Hardy, B. (2000): Improving the productivity and sustainability of rice-wheat system of the Indo-Gangetic Plains, A synthesis of NARS-IRRI partnership research, IRRI Discussion Paper Series 40, *International Rice Research Institute*, Makati City, Philippines.



- [14] Lindsay, W.L. and Norvel, W.A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, copper, iron and manganese, *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 42: 421-28.
- [15] Maskina, M.S., Bijay Singh, Yadwinder Singh, Baddesha, H.S. and Meelu, O.P. (1988): Fertilizer requirement of rice-wheat and maize-wheat rotations on coarse textured soils amended with farm yard manure. *Fertilizer Research* 17: 153-164.
- [16] Mishra, B., Shekhar, K.S., Bhardwaj, A.K. and Buresh, R.J. (2005): *Site-Specific Nutrient Management for Sustainable Rice-Wheat Production: Guidelines and Recommendations*, G.B. Pant Univ. Agric. and Tech., Pantnagar.
- [17] Meelu, O.P., Saggar, S., Maskina, M.S. and Rekhi, R.S. (1987): Time and source of nitrogen application in rice-wheat system, *Journal of agricultural Science Cambridge* 109: 387- 392.
- [18] Nayyar, V.K., Sadana, U.S. and Takkar, P.N. (1985): Methods and rates of application of Mn and its critical levels for wheat following rice on coarse textured soils, *Fertiliser News* 8: 173-178.
- [19] Pathak, H., Singh, U.K., Patra, A.K. and Kalra, N. (2004): Fertilizer use efficiency to improve environmental quality, *Fertilizer News* 49: 95-105.
- [20] Peng, S. and Cassman, K.G. (1998): Upper thresholds of nitrogen uptake rates and associated N fertilizer efficiencies in irrigated rice, *Agronomy Journal* 90: 178-185.
- [21] Rawat, M.S., Tripathi, R.P. and Nand, Ram (1998): Long term effect of puddling, fertilizer and manures on transmission characteristics of a Hapludoll under rice-wheat-cowpeas system. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science* 46: 128-29.
- [22] Singh, Y., Meelu, O.P. and Bijay Singh (1990): Nitrogen management in rice-wheat system, *Fertilizer News* 25: 41-46.
- [23] Witt, C., Dobermann, A., Abdulrachman, S., Gines, H.C., Wang, G.H., Nagarajan, R., Satawathananont, S., Son, T.T., Tan, P.S., Tiem, L.V., Simbahan, G.C., Olk, D.C. (1999): Internal nutrient efficiencies of irrigated lowland rice in tropical and subtropical Asia, *Field Crops Research* 63:113-138.